

James D. Coburn  
Serial No.: 10/614,634  
AMENDMENT  
Page 2

on the same day and that includes the same specification as Hoskins '853. For this reason Hoskins '853 is not a prior art reference.


5-6. The Office Action rejected claims 1-55 as anticipated by Hoskins '662. Applicant includes herewith a 1.132 affidavit in which each of the inventors (Mr. Hoskins and Mr. Brooks) in Hoskins '662 state that the subject matter in the claims of the present application was derived jointly by the inventors in the present application (i.e., Mr. Hoskins, Mr. Brooks and Mr. Coburn) and therefore the claims in the present application were not previously invented by another and Hoskins '662 should be overcome.

Applicant has introduced no new matter in making the above remarks. In view of the above remarks, Applicant believes claims 1-5 of the present application recite patentable subject matter and allowance of the same is requested. No fee in addition to the fees already authorized in this and accompanying documentation is believed to be required to enter this amendment, however, if an additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account No. 17-0055 in the amount of the fee.

Respectfully submitted,

JAMES D. COBURN

Date: 5-4-06

By:   
Michael A. Jaskolski  
Reg. No. 37,551  
Attorney for Applicant  
QUARLES & BRADY, LLP  
411 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, WI. 53202-4497  
(414) 277-5711